arXiv:astro-ph/0606672v1 27 Jun 2006

Looking at the Fundamental Plane through a gravitational lens

G. Bertin and M. Lombardi[1]
Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT

We consider the Fundamental Plane of elliptical galaxies lensed by the gravitational field of a massive deflector (typically, a cluster of galaxies). We show that the Fundamental Plane relation provides a straightforward measurement of the projected mass distribution of the lens with a typical accuracy of ≈ 0.15 in the dimensionless column density κ. The proposed technique breaks the mass-sheet degeneracy completely and is thus expected to serve as an important complement to other lensing-based analyses. Moreover, its ability to measure directly the mass distribution on the small pencil beams that characterize the size of background galaxies may lead to crucial tests for current scenarios of structure formation.

Subject headings: Galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD — Gravitational lensing — Galaxies: Clusters : General — Cosmology: dark matter

1. Introduction

One of the most remarkable properties of early-type galaxies is the fact that their distribution in the three-dimensional parameter space of effective radius $R_{\rm e}$, central velocity dispersion σ_0, and average (intrinsic) surface brightness $\langle {\rm SB} \rangle_{\rm e}$ within $R_{\rm e}$ is extremely well localized. In particular, if σ_0 is measured in km s^{-1}, $\langle {\rm SB} \rangle_{\rm e}$ in mag arcsec^{-2}, and $R_{\rm e}$ in kpc, then the parameter space is mostly filled around a locus called the "Fundamental Plane" (Dressler et al. 1987; Djorgovski & Davis 1987) and described by the equation

$$\log R_{\rm e} = \log r_{\rm e} + \log D_A(z) = \alpha \log \sigma_0 + \beta \langle {\rm SB} \rangle_{\rm e} + \gamma \; , \qquad (1)$$

where $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ are three (possibly wavelength-dependent) constants, $r_{\rm e}$ is the angular effective radius (taken to be measured in radians), and $D_A(z)$ is the angular diameter distance of the galaxy at redshift z in kpc. In general, the parameters $r_{\rm e}$ and $\langle {\rm SB} \rangle_{\rm e} \equiv 42.0521 - 2.5 \log\bigl(L/2\pi R_{\rm e}^2 L_\odot^B\bigr)$ are operationally defined in terms of a best fit with the $R^{1/4}$ law (de Vaucouleurs 1948); moreover, in the evaluation of the *intrinsic* surface brightness $\langle {\rm SB} \rangle_{\rm e}$ from the data, a number of factors (such as cosmological dimming, k-correction, and galactic extinction) need to be taken into account. Note that $r_{\rm e}$ corresponds to the half-light radius of the galaxy only if the luminosity profile is exactly described by the de Vaucouleurs law. [Some concerns about the universality of the $R^{1/4}$ law and the structural homology of elliptical galaxies have been raised, but the small systematic deviations can be interpreted in terms of weak homology, see Bertin et al. 2002.] Usually, the central velocity dispersion σ_0 is defined as the luminosity weighted average dispersion inside an aperture radius of $r_{\rm e}/8$.

The tightness of the Fundamental Plane, which in the nearby universe is characterized by a relative scatter in $R_{\rm e}$ of the order of 15% or below (e.g. Jørgensen et al. 1996), makes this scaling relation equivalent to the existence of a "standard rod." Therefore, the Fundamental Plane has been very useful as a distance indicator (e.g. Kelson et al. 2000a; Gavazzi et al. 1999; Bender et al. 1998; see also van Albada et al. 1995). Surprisingly, this scaling relation has been found to hold tightly also at redshifts of cosmological interest, so that it has allowed astronomers to investigate the evolution properties of early-type galaxies out to $z \approx 1$ (Treu et al. 1999; Jørgensen et al. 1999;

---

[1] European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Straße 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany

Rusin et al. 2003b; Rusin & Kochanek 2005 and a number of related articles; see also the discussion reported in Sect. 3 below).

So far, in the context of gravitational lensing (and mostly in the case of strong lensing) the Fundamental Plane has been mainly employed to characterize the properties of the deflector (see Kochanek et al. 2000), a natural approach since the lenses are often early-type galaxies. In contrast to previous applications, in this Letter we investigate the use of the Fundamental Plane for the background elliptical galaxies observed through a gravitational lens. Since the Fundamental Plane essentially provides a "standard rod," that is an *absolute length-scale* through R_e, we propose to employ it to measure the magnification due to an intervening gravitational lens. This novel technique not only is expected to provide measurements of the projected mass density of the lens with relatively high signal-to-noise ratio, but also presents several unique characteristics that make it an invaluable diagnostics of the structure of gravitational lenses. The method is applicable, in principle, to a study of single lensed galaxies or, more naturally, to a small sample of lensed objects; for a given lens, it will find best applications as a complement to other lensing-based investigations.

2. The Fundamental Plane of lensed galaxies

The distortion on the observed images produced by gravitational lensing effects is usually described in terms of the ray-tracing function $\vec{f} : \vec{\theta} \mapsto \vec{\theta}^\mathrm{s}$, which gives the *real* position of a point source $\vec{\theta}^\mathrm{s}$ given its *observed* position $\vec{\theta}$. Gravitational lensing conserves surface brightness (Schneider et al. 1992), and consequently the observed intensity I is related to the true, unlensed intensity I^s by the simple equation

$$I(\vec{\theta}) = I^\mathrm{s}(\vec{\theta}^\mathrm{s}) = I^\mathrm{s}\bigl(\vec{f}(\vec{\theta})\bigr) \; . \qquad (2)$$

If the (projected) lens mass distribution is smooth on small scales (for example, on the typical angular size of the images of background galaxies), the ray-tracing function will also be smooth on these scales. As a result, it is possible to Taylor expand to first order this function as

$$\vec{f}(\vec{\theta}_0 + \vec{\delta}) = \quad \vec{f}(\vec{\theta}_0) + \left.\frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial \vec{\theta}}\right|_{\vec{\theta}_0} \vec{\delta} + O(\delta^2) \qquad (3)$$

$$\equiv \qquad \vec{\theta}^\mathrm{s}_0 + A\vec{\delta} + O(\delta^2) \; , \qquad (4)$$

where the last line defines the source position $\vec{\theta}^\mathrm{s}_0 \equiv f(\vec{\theta}_0)$ and the Jacobian of the ray-tracing A. In this approximation, the quantities entering the Fundamental Plane are modified by the ray-tracing function in the following way:

$$r_\mathrm{e} \quad \mapsto r^\mathrm{s}_\mathrm{e} = r_\mathrm{e}\sqrt{\lvert\det A\rvert} \; , \qquad (5)$$

$$\sigma_0 \qquad \mapsto \sigma^\mathrm{s}_0 = \sigma_0 \; , \qquad (6)$$

$$\bigl\langle\mathrm{SB}\bigr\rangle_\mathrm{e} \quad \mapsto \bigl\langle\mathrm{SB}\bigr\rangle^\mathrm{s}_\mathrm{e} = \bigl\langle\mathrm{SB}\bigr\rangle_\mathrm{e} \; . \qquad (7)$$

The last two equations hold because both the point values of the velocity dispersion and of the surface brightness are conserved by gravitational lensing, and because both values are defined *intrinsically* with respect to the effective radius r_e. We note that the simple transformation (5) is valid only when the effective *circularized* radius r_e is defined (as is usually done) as the geometric mean of the galaxy semiaxes $r_\mathrm{e} = \sqrt{ab}$. In conclusion, Eq. (1) refers to the *unlensed* quantities $\bigl\{r^\mathrm{s}_\mathrm{e}, \sigma^\mathrm{s}_0, \langle\mathrm{SB}\rangle_\mathrm{e}\bigr\}$. As a result, in the presence of gravitational lensing, the Fundamental Plane is transformed into

$$\log r_\mathrm{e} + \log D_A(z) = \alpha \log \sigma_0 + \beta \bigl\langle\mathrm{SB}\bigr\rangle_\mathrm{e} + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \log \, \lvert \det A \rvert \; . \qquad (8)$$

In this equation, all terms but the last can be derived from the observations. The Fundamental Plane relation can thus be inverted to obtain $\lvert\det A\rvert$.

3. Discussion

Similarly to the case of the so-called magnification effect (e.g. Taylor et al. 1998), our technique produces an estimate of the determinant of the Jacobian matrix A of the ray-tracing. In the case of a single-screen lens, we have (see Schneider et al. 1992) $\det A = (1-\kappa)^2(1-g)^2$, where $\kappa = \Sigma/\Sigma_\mathrm{c}$ is the lens *convergence* (i.e. the ratio between the lens projected mass distribution Σ and the geometrical critical density Σ_c), and g is the modulus of the *reduced* shear (the ratio between the shear and the quantity $1-\kappa$). In the weak lensing limit (for which the present technique is more straightforward) both κ and g are small quantities and the determinant reduces simply to $\det A \approx 1 - 2\kappa$. Hence, in this important limit our technique provides directly a measurement of the lens projected mass density:

$$0.4343\,\kappa \approx \log r_{\mathrm{e}} + \log D_A(z) - \alpha \log \sigma_0 - \beta \langle \mathrm{SB} \rangle_{\mathrm{e}} - \gamma\ . \tag{9}$$

Before considering the advantages and the limitations of the method proposed in this Letter, we should address the issue of the statistical error associated with a single application of Eq. (9). Several studies of the nearby universe have shown that the Fundamental Plane is extremely tight. In some cases it has been claimed that the intrinsic scatter in R_{e} is as low as 11% (Jørgensen et al. 1993); more recent investigations find that the intrinsic scatter of the Fundamental Plane is approximately 15% in R_{e} (Jørgensen et al. 1996). Surprisingly, the scatter appears to be very small also for samples of galaxies at relatively high redshift (Bernardi et al. 2003; di Serego Alighieri et al. 2005). If we refer to the more conservative relative error of 15% in R_{e}, we derive a relative error of 30% in $|\det A|$ and thus an (absolute) error of ≈ 0.15 in κ. *Hence, the effect considered in this paper should be easily detected from a single observation in the central regions of massive clusters*; alternatively, one could use collectively several observations in the periphery of clusters (see further description below at the end of this section).

Another issue to be addressed is related to the evolution of the Fundamental Plane. So far no clear indication of a redshift dependence of the constants α and β in Eq. (1) has been found, while the offset of the plane γ depends on redshift and is typically consistent with a picture of passive galaxy evolution. These features can be easily incorporated in our study if we have good empirical knowledge of $\gamma(z)$ for field galaxies (e.g., see Treu et al. 2002; but see the discussion below).

The lensing of the Fundamental Plane presents several advantages when compared to standard gravitational lensing techniques:

- It measures directly the projected mass density and not the shear, as typically done in weak lensing. As a result, the measurements can be interpreted in terms of clear physical quantities with no need of any further analysis.

- Our method is not plagued by the mass-sheet degeneracy, which severely hampers lensing studies (see, e.g. Bartelmann & Schneider 2001; Bradač et al. 2004). A similar advantage would be shared by the magnification effect studied previously (Taylor et al. 1998), but its application has met major difficulties, mainly because of its sensitivity to spurious changes in the observed density of background galaxies or to inaccurate measurements of the unlensed galaxy density (Schneider et al. 2000). In addition, a severe bias is generally introduced by the presence of bright galaxies, which tend to saturate the central regions of clusters and to significantly affect the completeness in the detection of background galaxies. In contrast, the lensing of the Fundamental Plane is not affected by any apparent (position-dependent) bias and should thus produce reliable measurements.

- The technique proposed yields measurements characterized by a high signal-to-noise ratio, with a typical error on κ of ≈ 0.15. By comparison, we note that a single galaxy usually provides a shear measurement with an accuracy of ≈ 0.3 or worse (see, e.g. Bernstein & Jarvis 2002). For a typical lens $g \sim \kappa$, i.e. the reduced shear modulus and the convergence have the same order of magnitude, but since the shear depends non-locally on the lens mass distribution, several thousands of independent shear measurements are usually needed to obtain a good weak lensing detection (e.g. Lombardi et al. 2005). In this respect, a small number of "lensed Fundamental Plane" measurements can be used together with standard lensing analyses as an efficient way to break the mass-sheet degeneracy.

- The lensing of the Fundamental Plane is based on the evaluation of the lensing magnification on small pencil beams corresponding to the typical size of a background galaxy (usually a few arcseconds). These high-resolution measurements are severely undersampled, and will need to be interpolated to obtain a smooth map of the lens mass distribution. Yet, the ability to obtain direct, reliable estimates of the projected mass distribution on small angular scales is bound to offer an invaluable diagnostics to test current structure formation scenarios (for example, to confirm the existence of small undetected dark satellites around massive galaxies, see Kauffmann et al. 1993; Moore et al. 1999; Klypin et al. 1999 or of dark density clumps in clusters of galaxies).

The merits described above come with some price to pay. First, we note that the study of the Fundamental Plane at intermediate and high redshifts is challenging, especially for the low-density environments considered in this Letter. In particular, the precise evolution of γ with redshift, $\gamma(z)$, is still under debate. For example, Kochanek et al. (2000), van Dokkum et al. (2001), van Dokkum & Ellis (2003), and Rusin et al. (2003a) report a relatively slow evolution of $\gamma(z)$, while Treu et al. (2002) and Gebhardt et al. (2003) claim a somewhat faster evolution. Unfortunately, an error in the estimate of the Fundamental Plane intercept $\gamma(z)$ will directly affect the estimate of the lensing magnification, and thus of the lens dimensionless mass density κ. Currently, the determination of the derivative of γ with redshift ranges from $\gamma'(z) = 1.35^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ (Treu et al. 2002) to $\gamma'(z) = 1.00 \pm 0.12$ (van Dokkum & Ellis 2003): hence, these two extremes would lead to a systematic uncertainty of ≈ 0.1 for γ at $z \approx 0.8$. On the other hand, since several independent studies (including the ones mentioned above) have shown that the thickness of the Fundamental Plane does not increase significantly with redshift, the differences reported must be related to systematic effects rather than to pure statistical uncertainties. As a result, it is natural to expect that in the near future it will be possible to reduce this error source and thus to base the study that we propose on a well reliable estimate of $\gamma(z)$ for field galaxies.

The point just discussed remind us that the acquisition of the relevant Fundamental Plane data for distant early-type galaxies is non-trivial. Therefore, observations will necessarily be limited to relatively bright objects (currently $R <$ 23 mag). In addition, elliptical galaxies represent only a fraction (approximately 30%) of all galaxies, and their relative number decreases with redshift. In conclusion, all these difficulties suggest that the present application of the method proposed here should be viable only on low-to-mid redshift lensing clusters of galaxies, for which the probability of identifying background early-type galaxies in the field is sufficiently high.

In order to address these difficulties more quantitatively, we first note that, as pointed out by various authors (e.g., Kelson et al. 2000b), the combination of parameters that enters the Fundamental Plane is particularly robust because it is characterized by (anti-)correlated errors. In particular, for the sample of mid-redshift galaxies studied by Treu et al. (2001), the median measurement error on the combination $\log R_{\mathrm{e}} - \beta \langle SB \rangle_{\mathrm{e}}$ is as small as 0.02. A larger contribution to the scatter of the Fundamental Plane is given by the velocity dispersion measurements. For example, if we conservatively assume that measurements on σ are performed with a $\approx 10\%$ accuracy, then we expect a scatter of approximately 0.05 in $\log R_{\mathrm{e}}$, to be compared with the ≈ 0.07 intrinsic scatter in $\log R_{\mathrm{e}}$. In summary, if we take into account typical measurement errors on the Fundamental Plane, the expected error on R_{e} may increase from $\approx 15\%$ to $\approx 20\%$.

Another important point to be addressed in detail is the expected angular density of ellipticals for which we can estimate the parameters entering the Fundamental Plane with reasonable accuracy. Current 8-meter class telescopes can obtain high signal-to-noise spectra of objects as faint as 21.5 mag in the z-SDSS band (van der Wel et al. 2005), corresponding to F814W ≈ 21.7 mag and $R \approx 23.3$ mag (the color conversions are according to Fukugita et al. 1995). Given the number counts of elliptical galaxies (e.g., see Glazebrook et al. 1995), we estimate that there are approximately 2 galaxies arcmin^{-2} brighter than 21.5 mag in z-SDSS. Assuming, for simplicity, that the cluster mass distribution is approximately described by a ≈ 1000 km s^{-1} singular isothermal sphere, we estimate that within a 1 arcmin radius, the reduced mass density κ exceeds 0.15 for a source at redshift 0.8. In other words, for such a lens we would expect approximately 5 background early-type galaxies showing (each of them *individually*) detectable lensing of the Fundamental Plane. In reality, this number is likely to be underestimated, also because clusters have often a complex morphology and the presence of substructure usually increases the useful area where lensing investigations can be carried out. For example, in Abell 1689 at $z = 0.18$, the estimated dimensionless mass density for a source at redshift 0.8 exceed

0.5 within a 1 arcmin radius, and decreases below 0.15 only beyond the 2 arcmin radius (Broadhurst et al. 2005; Diego et al. 2005).

4. Conclusions and prospects

In this Letter we have considered the application of the Fundamental Plane of elliptical galaxies, as equivalent to a "standard rod", to investigate the mass distribution of gravitational lenses from their magnification of the intrinsic scale-length $R_{\rm e}$. We have shown that the proposed technique can provide column density measurements on pencil beams with an accuracy of 0.15 in the reduced dimensionless mass density κ.

As explained above, our technique has several advantages with respect to standard lensing methods, but is also observationally challenging and can be applied to the limited number of early-type galaxies typically observed through a lens. These considerations suggest that the lensing of the Fundamental Plane would be best employed in conjunction with other lensing analyses. In fact, ground-based weak lensing observations are based on approximately 25 galaxies arcmin^{-2}, with each galaxy typically giving an estimate of the local shear with 0.4 error. Hence, by averaging the various shear measurements over a square arcmin, an error of ≈ 0.08 on the shear can be achieved. This value should be compared with the ≈ 0.1 error expected on the convergence κ from our method alone, or with the ≈ 0.06 error from a combined analysis. In addition, as noted earlier in this Letter, a combined analysis would break the mass-sheet degeneracy of weak lensing.

In principle, an analogous technique could be based on the existence of a "standard candle", as provided by the Tully-Fisher (Tully & Fisher 1977) relation for late-type galaxies,

$$L \propto V^p \; , \qquad (10)$$

where L is the total absolute luminosity of the galaxy, V is its *maximum* rotational velocity, and p is a (wavelength-dependent) constant. Similarly to the Fundamental Plane, the Tully-Fisher scaling relation is often used as a distance estimator or to analyse the evolution properties of spiral galaxies. In the nearby universe, the measured scatter in L can be as small as 20% (e.g. Pierce & Tully 1988; Willick 1990; Raychaudhury et al. 1997; Verheijen 2001; see Strauss & Willick 1995 for a review), suggesting that the Tully-Fisher relation might be used to measure with a similar accuracy the lens magnification $|\det A|$, and would thus provide a direct estimate of κ with an rms error as small as 0.1. The practical use of the Tully-Fisher relation as a distance estimator has been limited to low redshifts. Recently, it has been possible to investigate the Tully-Fisher relation at relatively high redshifts (e.g. Bamford et al. 2006; Böhm et al. 2004). Unfortunately, a rather large scatter is observed in these studies (approximately 0.8–1 mag), which severely limits applications of the type proposed in this Letter on the basis of the Fundamental Plane. Nevertheless, if the observed scatter is not (totally) intrinsic, next generation telescopes, such as the James Webb Space Telescope or the Extremely Large Telescopes, may open the way to this other, possibly powerful, investigation technique.

Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) also appear to be very good (calibrated) "standard candles" (Riess et al. 1996; Branch 1998) and thus they could be suitable for the lensing analysis proposed in this Letter. In fact, such a possibility has already been considered by various authors (see, e.g., Holz 2001; Goobar et al. 2002; Oguri et al. 2003; Oguri & Kawano 2003), especially in relation to the prospects offered by the *Supernova/Acceleration Probe* (*SNAP*). This satellite is expected to provide ≈ 8 multiple imaged supernovae per year within its 20 fixed, 1 deg^2 fields (Holz 2001); of these, ≈ 2 are expected to be Type Ia SNe for which the *absolute* magnification can be measured. Since the probability of observing a strong lensing effect on a randomly selected area of the sky (the strong lensing optical depth) is $\tau \approx 0.001$ for sources out to redshift 1.5, lensing applications of SNe will be limited to the study of the few clusters for which serendipitous observations become available.

We wish to thank Piero Rosati for several helpful and stimulating discussions. This work was partly supported by MIUR (Cofin-2004).

REFERENCES

Bamford, S. P., Aragón-Salamanca, A., & Milvang-Jensen, B. 2006, MNRAS, 366, 308

Bartelmann, M. & Schneider, P. 2001, Physics Reports, 340, 291

Bender, R., Saglia, R. P., Ziegler, B., et al. 1998, ApJ, 493, 529

Bernardi, M., Sheth, R. K., Annis, J., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1866

Bernstein, G. M. & Jarvis, M. 2002, AJ, 123, 583

Bertin, G., Ciotti, L., & Del Principe, M. 2002, A&A, 386, 149

Böhm, A., Ziegler, B. L., Saglia, R. P., et al. 2004, A&A, 420, 97

Bradač, M., Lombardi, M., & Schneider, P. 2004, A&A, 424, 13

Branch, D. 1998, ARA&A, 36, 17

Broadhurst, T., Benítez, N., Coe, D., et al. 2005, ApJ, 621, 53

de Vaucouleurs, G. 1948, Annales d'Astrophysique, 11, 247

di Serego Alighieri, S., Vernet, J., Cimatti, A., et al. 2005, A&A, 442, 125

Diego, J. M., Sandvik, H. B., Protopapas, P., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 362, 1247

Djorgovski, S. & Davis, M. 1987, ApJ, 313, 59

Dressler, A., Lynden-Bell, D., Burstein, D., et al. 1987, ApJ, 313, 42

Fukugita, M., Shimasaku, K., & Ichikawa, T. 1995, PASP, 107, 945

Gavazzi, G., Boselli, A., Scodeggio, M., Pierini, D., & Belsole, E. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 595

Gebhardt, K., Faber, S. M., Koo, D. C., et al. 2003, ApJ, 597, 239

Glazebrook, K., Ellis, R., Santiago, B., & Griffiths, R. 1995, MNRAS, 275, L19

Goobar, A., Mörtsell, E., Amanullah, R., & Nugent, P. 2002, A&A, 393, 25

Holz, D. E. 2001, ApJ, 556, L71

Jørgensen, I., Franx, M., Hjorth, J., & van Dokkum, P. G. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 833

Jørgensen, I., Franx, M., & Kjaergaard, P. 1993, ApJ, 411, 34

Jørgensen, I., Franx, M., & Kjaergaard, P. 1996, MNRAS, 280, 167

Kauffmann, G., White, S. D. M., & Guiderdoni, B. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 201

Kelson, D. D., Illingworth, G. D., Tonry, J. L., et al. 2000a, ApJ, 529, 768

Kelson, D. D., Illingworth, G. D., van Dokkum, P. G., & Franx, M. 2000b, ApJ, 531, 137

Klypin, A., Kravtsov, A. V., Valenzuela, O., & Prada, F. 1999, ApJ, 522, 82

Kochanek, C. S., Falco, E. E., Impey, C. D., et al. 2000, ApJ, 543, 131

Lombardi, M., Rosati, P., Blakeslee, J. P., et al. 2005, ApJ, 623, 42

Moore, B., Ghigna, S., Governato, F., et al. 1999, ApJ, 524, L19

Oguri, M. & Kawano, Y. 2003, MNRAS, 338, L25

Oguri, M., Suto, Y., & Turner, E. L. 2003, ApJ, 583, 584

Pierce, M. J. & Tully, R. B. 1988, ApJ, 330, 579

Raychaudhury, S., von Braun, K., Bernstein, G. M., & Guhathakurta, P. 1997, AJ, 113, 2046

Riess, A. G., Press, W. H., & Kirshner, R. P. 1996, ApJ, 473, 88

Rusin, D. & Kochanek, C. S. 2005, ApJ, 623, 666

Rusin, D., Kochanek, C. S., Falco, E. E., et al. 2003a, ApJ, 587, 143

Rusin, D., Kochanek, C. S., & Keeton, C. R. 2003b, ApJ, 595, 29

Schneider, P., Ehlers, J., & Falco, E. E. 1992, Gravitational Lenses (Berlin Heidelberk NewYork: Springer-Verlag)

Schneider, P., King, L., & Erben, T. 2000, A&A, 353, 41

Strauss, M. A. & Willick, J. A. 1995, Phys. Rep., 261, 271

Taylor, A. N., Dye, S., Broadhurst, T. J., Benitez, N., & Van Kampen, E. 1998, ApJ, 501, 539

Treu, T., Stiavelli, M., Bertin, G., Casertano, S., & Møller, P. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 237

Treu, T., Stiavelli, M., Casertano, S., Møller, P., & Bertin, G. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 1037

Treu, T., Stiavelli, M., Casertano, S., Møller, P., & Bertin, G. 2002, ApJ, 564, L13

Tully, R. B. & Fisher, J. R. 1977, A&A, 54, 661

van Albada, T. S., Bertin, G., & Stiavelli, M. 1995, MNRAS, 276, 1255

van der Wel, A., Franx, M., van Dokkum, P. G., et al. 2005, ApJ, 631, 145

van Dokkum, P. G. & Ellis, R. S. 2003, ApJ, 592, L53

van Dokkum, P. G., Franx, M., Kelson, D. D., & Illingworth, G. D. 2001, ApJ, 553, L39

Verheijen, M. A. W. 2001, ApJ, 563, 694

Willick, J. A. 1990, ApJ, 351, L5

This 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.